(a) The present value of the lump-sum taxes is  $T_1 + [T_2/(1+r)]$ . The present value of the tax on interest (a) The present value of the nump-sum taxes is  $x_1 = \{x_2/(1+\tau)\}$ . The present value of the ax of the ax of the following income is  $[\tau/(1+\tau)]\tau(Y_1-C_1^0)$ , where  $\tau$  is the tax rate on interest income. The government must choose  $T_1$ and T<sub>2</sub> so that these two quantities are equal, or

and 
$$T_2$$
 so that diserving (1)  $T_1 + \frac{T_2}{1+r} = \frac{r}{1+r} \tau(Y_1 - C_1^0)$ .

- (b) Suppose the new taxes satisfy condition (1). This means that at the point where the individual (b) Suppose the new taxes satisfy condition (1). This means that at the point where the individual consumes  $C_1^0$ , she pays the same with the new lump-sum tax as she did with the old tax on interest income. That is, right at  $C_1^0$ , the individual's after-tax lifetime income is the same under both tax schemes. Thus at  $C_1^0$ , the individual has just enough to consume  $C_2^0$  in the second period under both tax schemes. This means that the new budget line must go through  $(C_1^0, C_2^0)$  just as the old one did. Since  $(C_1^0, C_2^0)$  lies right on the new budget line, it is just affordable. right on the new budget line, it is just affordable.
- (c) First-period consumption must fall. Consider the figure at right. Point E represents the endowment,  $(Y_1, Y_2)$ . The budget line under the tax on interest income has slope -  $[1 + (1 - \tau)r]$  for  $C_1 < Y_1$ ; for  $C_1 > Y_1$  there is no positive saving and therefore no tax on interest income so that the slope equals -(1 + r).

As explained in part (b), the budget line with revenue-neutral, lump-sum taxes goes through the initial optimum consumption bundle, (C<sub>1</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>) It has slope equal to -(1+r). With saving no longer taxed, then for any  $C_1 < Y_1$ , giving up one unit of period-one consumption yields more units

